UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK BENJAMIN CASE, JENNIFER KLEIN, and MARK KUSHNEIR,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, JOSEPH ESPOSITO, New York City Police Department ("NYPD") Chief of Department, DAVID GROHT, NYPD Lieutenant, LAWRENCE PAPOLA, NYPD Sergeant, Shield No. 03646, BENJAMIN ALMONTE, NYPD Officer, Shield No. 29182, FIRST NAME UNKNOWN ("FNU") DOWNES, NYPD Officer, Shield No. Unknown, DMITRY TVERDOKHLEB, NYPD Officer, Shield No. 27018, and MICHAEL MALDONADO, NYPD Officer, Shield No. 23573,

Defendants.

ANALISA TORRES, District Judge:

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 7/28/2022

14 Civ. 9148 (AT) (BCM)

ORDER

Trial has been scheduled in this matter to begin on **October 2, 2023**. ECF No. 165. Because of the substantial backlog of trial-ready cases due to the COVID-19 pandemic, this date is only tentative, and the trial may be delayed further. The parties are reminded that they may consent to proceed before the Honorable Barbara C. Moses, who would then oversee the trial and resolve any pending matters before the Court.

If the parties consent to Judge Moses's jurisdiction, by **August 4, 2022**, they shall file a fully executed Notice, Consent, and Reference of a Civil Action to a Magistrate Judge form, available at https://nysd.uscourts.gov/node/754 on the docket. The parties are free to withhold consent without negative consequences. If the Court approves that form, all further proceedings will then be conducted before Judge Moses. An information sheet on proceedings before magistrate judges is also attached to this order. Any appeal would be taken directly to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, as with any matter heard by a district judge.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 28, 2022

New York, New York

ANALISA TORRES United States District Judge AO 85 (Rev. 01/09) Notice, Consent, and Reference of a Civil Action to a Magistrate Judge

UNITED S	TATES DISTRICT COURT  for theDistrict of	
Plaintiff V. Defendant	) Civil Action No.	
NOTICE, CONSENT, AND REFERE	ENCE OF A CIVIL ACTION TO A MAGIST	TRATE JUDGE
Notice of a magistrate judge's availability proceedings in this civil action (including a jury or then be appealed directly to the United States cour exercise this authority only if all parties voluntar	t of appeals like any other judgment of this court	lgment. The judgment may
You may consent to have your case refers substantive consequences. The name of any party be involved with your case.	ed to a magistrate judge, or you may withhold you withholding consent will not be revealed to any	
Consent to a magistrate judge's authorit conduct all proceedings in this case including tri	ty. The following parties consent to have a Unit al, the entry of final judgment, and all post-trial	
Parties' printed names	Signatures of parties or attorneys	Dates
	Reference Order	
IT IS ORDERED: This case is referred order the entry of a final judgment in accordance	d to a United States magistrate judge to conduct with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73	
Date:	District Judge's sign	nature
	Printed name and	titl <b>e</b>

Note: Return this form to the clerk of court only if you are consenting to the exercise of jurisdiction by a United States magistrate judge. Do not return this form to a judge.



## UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGES: REFERRALS AND CONSENTS

All cases in the Southern District of New York are assigned to two judges: a district judge and a magistrate judge. District judges are appointed for life terms by the President. Magistrate judges are selected by a majority vote of the district judges in the particular district and serve terms of eight years.

Referrals to the Magistrate Judge. The district judge assigned to your case may refer the case to a magistrate judge for specific purposes. Commonly, the referral will be for the magistrate judge to conduct the proceedings that occur before trial, such as resolving discovery disputes or presiding over settlement conferences. A referral may also be made for the magistrate judge to issue to the district judge a report and recommendation on how to resolve a motion, such as a motion to dismiss or a motion for summary judgment. The consent of the parties is not needed for the district judge to refer the case to the magistrate judge for these purposes. If the district judge has made such a referral, you can ask the district judge to review any magistrate judge's decision by filing an objection with the district judge within fourteen days of that decision. The district judge will rule on any timely objections that you file. If you do not file an objection, you will give up your right to challenge the magistrate judge's decision at a later time, including on appeal. See Rule 72 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Consent to Proceed Before the Magistrate Judge. If you would like your case to move more quickly, it is helpful to consent to proceed before the magistrate judge for all purposes, including any trial. If you consent, the magistrate judge will perform the identical function that the district judge would have performed. Any trial in your case would be either a jury or a nonjury trial, depending upon whether there is a right to a jury trial and a proper request for such a trial. The only difference is that the magistrate judge – and not the district judge – would preside over that trial. Cases that proceed for all purposes before a magistrate judge generally move more quickly than cases before a district judge. If you consent to proceed before the magistrate judge, the district judge plays no further role in the case. Any appeal is taken directly to the Court of Appeals. It is your choice whether or not to consent to proceed before the magistrate judge, and all parties must consent or the case will not proceed before the magistrate judge.

A copy of the appropriate consent form is attached. Additional forms are also available from the Pro Se Intake Unit and on the Court's website.

500 Pearl Street | New York, NY 10007 300 Quarropas Street | White Plains, NY 10601

PRO SE INTAKE UNIT: 212-805-0175

Rev. 1/20/15